

IGCSE Geography

Coursework 2018 – 27.5% 0460/03

Berlin Fieldwork 2019

Name:

Form:

Investigation Title: How and why has Eastern Berlin been improved and have these improvements been successful?



Introduction to Coursework

Centre-based assessment - 60 marks

Candidates must complete one coursework assignment, set by teachers, of up to 2000 words.

This coursework assignment is based on human geography (settlement) and must be clearly related to one or more of the syllabus themes (Unit 2 - Explain the problems of urban areas, their causes and possible solutions).

The time spent on the coursework assignments should reflect the weighting of the component in the total assessment (i.e. 27.5%) so about 20 hours in total.

The prime consideration will be the quality of the content of the assignments in relation to the criteria on which assessment is based (see next page for detailed mark scheme). Centre-based assessment will provide a complementary assessment of the assessment objectives tested in Papers 1 and 2, with an emphasis on assessment objective 2, skills and analysis.

The coursework assignments must cover the assessment criteria in the proportions given below.

Assessment criteria Marks allocated

AO1: Knowledge with understanding	12
AO2: Skills and analysis	12
Observation and collection of data	12
Organisation and the presentation of data	12
Analysis and interpretation	12
AO3: Judgement and decision making (conclusion and evaluation)	12
Total:	60 marks



How your work will be marked

Generic mark scheme for coursework assessment

Assessment criterion	**Level 1 1–4 marks	Level 2 5–8 marks	Level 3 9–12 marks
<p>Knowledge with understanding (within the context of teaching and guidance) (max 12)</p>	<p>Describes information in simple geographical terms and shows a tentative grasp of the aims.</p>	<p>Outlines relevant information using appropriate geographical terms and develops a clear link between the aims and geographical ideas.</p>	<p>Provides comprehensive information with a careful use of appropriate geographical terms and the aims are clearly related to relevant key geographical ideas.</p>
<p>Skills and analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Observation and collection of data (max 12) 	<p>Shows evidence of some ability to collect and record basic information from limited sources and shows evidence of simple planning.</p>	<p>Collects and records relevant information from valid sources with evidence of sound planning.</p>	<p>Collects and records detailed data from a range of valid sources within a clear planning design.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organisation and presentation (max 12) 	<p>The presentation is loosely ordered and uses one simple presentation technique appropriately.</p>	<p>The presentation is logically ordered using two or more presentation techniques appropriately and effectively.</p>	<p>A coherent presentation using a range of appropriate techniques with accuracy and clear relevance to the aims.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis and interpretation (max 12) 	<p>Makes descriptive and simple comments about the information.</p>	<p>Makes a number of valid statements about the data with some explanations attempted.</p>	<p>A thorough interpretation of the data with reasoned explanations and comments.</p>
<p>Conclusion and evaluation (max 12)</p>	<p>States superficial conclusions showing tenuous links to the original aims. Simple evaluation showing little awareness of any shortcomings.</p>	<p>States tentative conclusions linked to the original aims. Some judgements linked to information collected. Some evaluation of a limited range of weaknesses.</p>	<p>States clear conclusions in the light of the aims, clearly related to the evidence collected. Makes a sound evaluation identifying weaknesses and suggesting improvement.</p>

Location, Location, Location.



Our Tour – Fieldwork locations



Above you will see the path of our tour around Berlin. We will be stopping & collecting data at up to 8 sites with a lunch break in between. The red marking on the map above shows the location of the Berlin Wall and the 'Death Strip'. You will be spending most of your time in the former East Berlin with the tour finishing off in the former West. Transport between the sites will be on tram, a bit of walking and Metro.

Fieldwork Methodology

At each site, we will stop and our tour guide, Nikolai, will give a talk about the area you are standing in together with some information about the local history and geography, how it has come to be like that, including improvements and possible counter protests. You are to listen carefully to him, taking notes on what he says in the space provided. Once he has finished, you will split off into small groups to conduct your surveys and take photos. There are no interview possibilities with members of the public.

.....

Nikolai



Nikolai – born in what was then the communist capital of Bulgaria, Sofia, Nikolai's family fled from behind the Iron Curtain and made for sunny California, where he spent the bulk of his young life. Nikolai studied theatre in Santa Cruz, Chicago and New York. He still remembers his first visit to Berlin at the tender age of twelve, when the Wall left an indelible impression on him. Nikolai settled in Berlin in 2005 and began guiding tours straight away. Since then he has garnered a reputation as one of Berlin's most knowledgeable and personable guides. When not travelling to exotic places or acting in Berlin's theatres, Nikolai leads [all of our many tours](#) and is sure to enrich your visit to Berlin!

.....

The 8 locations around the city:

1. Hackescher Markt
2. Courtyard 1
3. Courtyard 2
4. Schönhauser Allee
5. Kulturbrauerei
6. Danziger Strasse
7. Revaler Straße & Urban Spree
8. Turkish Market

The Surveys

1. Perception Survey
2. Index of Services and Amenities
3. Index of Residential Decay
4. Conflict Matrix

Location 1 - Hackescher Markt



Notes from Nikolai

What did this area used to be like (pre 2002)

Today, that use is....

The main visible signs of urban regeneration...

Other notes

Photos Taken (Tick)

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ =	Compatible
X =	Conflict
? =	Possible conflict

Location 2 – Courtyard 1 (Regenerated)



Notes from Nikolai

What did this area used to be like (pre 2002)

Today, that use is....

The main visible signs of urban regeneration...

Other notes

Photos Taken (Tick)	<input type="checkbox"/>
---------------------	--------------------------

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ = Compatible
x = Conflict
? = Possible conflict

Location 3 – Courtyard 2



Notes from Nikolai

What did this area used to be like (pre 2002)

Today, that use is....

The main visible signs of urban regeneration...

Other notes

Photos Taken (Tick)	<input type="checkbox"/>
---------------------	--------------------------

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ = Compatible
 X = Conflict
 ? = Possible conflict

Location 4 Schönhauser Allee



Notes from Nikolai

Used to be...

Today is used for...

Main ways that I can see the area has been improved:

Conflicts?

Photos Taken

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

✓ = Compatible
 X = Conflict
 ? = Possible conflict

Location 5 – Kulturbrauerei



Notes from Nikolai

Used to be...

Today is used for...

Main ways that I can see the area has been improved:

Other notes

Photos Taken

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ =	Compatible
x =	Conflict
? =	Possible conflict

Location 6 – Urban Spree Revaler Straße



Notes from Nikolai

Used to be...

Today is used for...

Conflicts? Improvement?

Other notes

Photos Taken	
--------------	--

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ =	Compatible
X =	Conflict
? =	Possible conflict

Location 8 – Turkish Quarter (optional)



Notes from Nikolai

Used to be...

Today is used for...

Conflicts? Improvement?

Other notes

Photos Taken

Positive Qualities	Very strongly Felt	Strongly Felt	Felt	Not Felt
Score	3	2	1	0
Rich				
Safe				
Friendly/relaxed				
Improving				
Community Atmosphere				
Attractive Area				
Total Positive Scores				
Poor				
Dangerous				
Declining				
Risk of Crime				
Unattractive Area				
Vandalised				
Total Negative Scores				
Overall Score				

Service	Weighting (W)	Number (N) Seen	W x N
Closed Premises	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bars & Restaurants	1		
	2		
	3		
Food & Drink Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Banks	1		
	2		
	3		
Offices & Professional Services	1		
	2		
	3		
Services e.g. hairdresser & dry cleaner	1		
	2		
	3		
Betting Shops	1		
	2		
	3		
Clothes & Shoes	1		
	2		
	3		
Bookshops & Galleries	1		
	2		
	3		
Subtotal			

The higher the total, the more gentrified the area may be.

Weighting

- 1: Services used by traditional resident
- 2: Services used by tourists and traditional residents
- 3: Services most used by tourists or luxury items

Index of Residential Decay

	Much	Some	Little	None
Deterioration of walls	0	1	3	5
Part peeling	0	1	2	3
Broken glass in windows	0	1	3	7
Structural damage e.g. settling cracks	0	3	6	11
Rotting timber	0	2	4	8
Broken gutters, etc.	0	1	3	7

Score	Physical condition of buildings
33 - 41	Good/excellent
23 - 32	Satisfactory
14 - 22	Generally unsatisfactory. May be bad in specific parts
5 - 13	Action needed in very near future to improve structure
Below 5	Need to demolish or rebuild

FINAL TOTAL

CONFLICT MATRIX	Traditional Resident (Before 2002)	Young Professional (since 2002)	Traditional Business Owner	Property developer	Berlin Local Government	AirBnB	Tourist
Traditional Resident (Before 2002)							
Young Professional (since 2002)							
Traditional Business Owner							
Property developer							
Berlin Local Government							
AirBnB							
Tourist							

√ =	Compatible
X =	Conflict
? =	Possible conflict

Issues with Data Collection

In the space below, please make a note of any issues that impacted upon your data collection. This will be useful for the methodology section of your coursework.

Time of day

Day of the week

Language issues